nuXmv debugging models

Enrico Magnago

University of Trento, Fondazione Bruno Kessler

Debugging models

check_fsm check if there are deadlocks in the fsm.

```
MODULE main
VAR
x : 0..2;
y : {a, b, c};
TRANS x = 2 -> next(x) = 0;
TRANS y = a -> next(x) = 1;
```

Q: Is there a dead-lock? Where?

What about this mutual exclusion protocol?

```
MODULE Mutex(signal, shared, id)
  VAR
   pc : {10, 11, 12};
 ASSIGN
    init(pc) := 10;
    next(pc) :=
      case
        pc = 10 \& signal \& shared = 0 : 11;
        pc = 11 & !signal & shared = id : 12;
       pc = 12 : 10;
        TRUE : pc;
      esac;
 TRANS pc = 10 \& next(pc) = 11 \rightarrow next(shared) = id;
 TRANS pc = 12 & next(pc) = 10 -> next(shared) = 0;
MODULE main
  VAR
    signal : boolean;
   shared : 0..2;
    m0 : Mutex(signal, shared, 1);
    m1 : Mutex(signal, shared, 2);
 INVARSPEC NAME SAFE := m0.pc != 12 | m1.pc != 12;
```

Q: is there a dead-lock?

```
The transition relation is not total. A state without
successors is:
signal = TRUE
shared = 0
m0.pc = 12
m1.pc = 10
The transition relation is not deadlock-free.
A deadlock state is:
signal = TRUE
shared = 0
m0.pc = 10
m1.pc = 10
```

Q: what's the difference between the two states?

```
The transition relation is not total. A state without
successors is:
signal = TRUE
shared = 0
m0.pc = 12
m1.pc = 10
The transition relation is not deadlock-free.
A deadlock state is:
signal = TRUE
shared = 0
m0.pc = 10
m1.pc = 10
```

Q: what's the difference between the two states? The first one is not reachable.

Q: why?

print_reachable_states prints number of reachable states and total number of states.

```
system diameter: 1 reachable states: 6 (2<sup>2</sup>.58496) out of 54 (2<sup>5</sup>.75489)
```

Only 6 states are reachable, this is fishy. Can we list them?

print_reachable_states -v

----- State 1 ----signal = TRUE shared = 2m0.pc = 10m1.pc = 10----- State 2 ----signal = FALSEshared = 2m0.pc = 10m1.pc = 10----- State 3 ----signal = TRUE shared = 0m0.pc = 10m1.pc = 10

----- State 4 ----signal = FALSE shared = 0m0.pc = 10m1.pc = 10----- State 5 ----signal = TRUE shared = 1m0.pc = 10m1.pc = 10----- State 6 ----signal = FALSEshared = 1m0.pc = 10m1.pc = 10

print_reachable_states -f

((m0.pc = 10 & m1.pc = 10) & (shared = 2 | (shared = 1 | shared = 0)))

Let's try and fix the model

- Q: What are the issues of this mutual exclusion model?
- **Q**: Can we fix them?
- Q: How?

Is the model correct?

We hope so, but we cannot be sure.

We can increase our confidence by trying to verify/falsify other properties.

Distance between states

We might want to compute the number of steps required to go from one set of states to another.

COMPUTE MIN [start, end];

minimum number of steps required to reach a state in end, starting from a state in start. infinity if unreachable.

COMPUTE MAX [start, end]; maximum number of steps required to reach a state in end, starting from a state in start. infinity if unbounded. undefined if unreachable.

- start and end can be CTL formulae.
- check_compute command tells NUXMV to evaluate the COMPUTE statements.

Recall our simple mutual exclusion protocol

- Q What's the value of COMPUTE MIN [m0.pc = 10, m0.pc = 12]; ?
- Q What's the value of COMPUTE MAX [m0.pc = 10, m0.pc = 12]; ?

We have seen some automated ways to increase our confidence in the correctness of the model.

All these techniques help us if we know what to look for. Otherwise we can always perform simulations and look at what is happening.

- pick_state, simulate: we have already seen these ones.
- read_trace: load a trace from a file.
- execute_traces: checks whether all stored traces are in the language of the model.
- execute_partial_traces: tries to complete the trace such that it is a valid execution of the model.