

# Spin exercises

---

Enrico Magnago

University of Trento,  
Fondazione Bruno Kessler

## Exercise 1: mutual exclusion [1/2]

**Exercise:** A solution to **mutual exclusion** for **N processes** is based on message passing instead of shared variables.

**Idea:** use a shared **buffered** message channel and synchronize by reading and writing from/onto this channel.

- the only shared global data structure can be a channel
- check with **ItI** that following properties hold for 3 processes:
  - mutual exclusion
  - progress
  - lockout-freedom
- **Q:** why is the fairness condition necessary for the **lockout-freedom** property to hold?
- **Q:** What changes if a **synchronous** channel is used instead? (why?)

## Exercise 1: mutual exclusion [2/2]

**Idea:** replace the channel-based synchronization mechanism of **Exercise 1** with the famous **Test and Set** solution:

```
...                               // global variable
// enter critical section         bool lock = false
do
    :: atomic {                   ...
    tmp = lock;                   // exit critical section
    lock = true;                  lock = false;
    } ->                           ...
    if
        :: tmp;
        :: else -> break;
    fi;
od;
...
```

**Q:** does the program still verify all the properties? (why?)

## Exercise 2: factorial

**Exercise:** Model a process **factorial(n, c)** that **recursively** computes the factorial of a given value “n”.

Hints & Tasks:

- use **channel** “c” to return the value to your parent process
- spawn the first factorial() process in the **init** block
- verify that  $\text{fact}(k)$  is greater than  $2^k$  for  $k > 3$ . (e.g., try with  $k = 10$ )

**Q:**

- what happens if we try to compute the factorial of 100?

## Exercise 3: jumping array

**Exercise:** Model an array of  $k$  elements with  $k-1$  (random) memory locations initialized to 0 and **one** (random) location initialized to 1. Write an **algorithm** of your choice that searches the array for the memory location with value 1 and terminates only when it finds it. Each time that your algorithm reads **any** memory location, and before the next read, one of the following things must happen at random:

- the value 1 in location  $i$  jumps to location  $(i + 1) \% k$
- the value 1 in location  $i$  jumps to location  $(i - 1) \% k$
- the value 1 in location  $i$  does not move

Verify with **ItI** that the algorithm **always** terminates for  $k=11$ , use option “-mN” to control the **maximum depth** and “-i” for **breadth first** search.

- **Q:** is it possible to verify the correctness of your algorithm? why?

## Exercise 4: infinite monkey theorem

**Exercise:** Model a system of 26 *monkeys* and one human *reviewer*.

- Each **monkey** is given a button which, when pressed, sends a **unique** lower-case character (in the set a..z) to the reviewer. A monkey can press a button at any time, up until when the experiment is over.
- The **reviewer** checks the incoming sequence of characters, one by one, against a famous quote taken from the *Hamlet*: “to be or not to be” (spaces and punctuation marks are ignored). As soon as there is a match, the reviewer terminates the experiment.

Use a global, shared, channel `typewriter` to send characters from the *monkeys* to the human *reviewer*.

Write an *LTL* property s.t. the corresponding counter-example found by spin is an execution trace matching the sequence of characters `tobeornottobe`, and use *Spin* to find it.

**Q:** how can one guarantee correct termination for all processes?

## Exercise 5: Elaaden Vault

**Exercise:** Five ControlPillars, numbered from 0 to 4, control the gate of an ancient vault. Initially, pillars 1, 3 and 4 are in *ON* state, while 0 and 2 are *OFF*. The gate opens when all pillars are contemporarily set to *ON*.

- Each **ControlPillar** waits for input commands sent through their input channel `ctl`. Whenever a pillar receives a command, it **atomically** changes its own state –and the state of its immediate left and right neighbours– to the opposite value. To this extent, pillars 0 and 4 must be considered neighbours of each other.
- A spaceship **Commander** keeps sending command messages to randomly chosen control pillars, up until the gate opens.

Write a property `p1` s.t. its counter-example is a sequence of button-switches that will open the gate.

## Exercise 6: oscillator

**Exercise:** Write a Promela model that initializes a global integer variable **sum** to be 0. Model a process **P**, stuck in an infinite loop, which:

- draws a random value included in  $\{1, 3\}$  and assigns it to **v**
- updates the value of **sum** as follows:
  - if **sum** is positive valued, it subtracts **v** to its value
  - otherwise, it adds **v** to its value

Verify the following **ltl** properties:

- the value of **sum** is equal to 0 infinitely often
- the value of **sum** is never larger than 3 or smaller than  $-3$
- it always the case that if **sum** is greater than 0 then it will eventually be smaller than 0, and if **sum** is smaller than 0 then it will eventually be larger than 0

**Q:** why is the third property **not** verified? can you fix it?

## Exercise 7: cigarette smokers

**Exercise:** Assume that a cigarette requires three ingredients to be made: TOBACCO, PAPER and MATCHES. There are three smokers around a table, each of which has an infinite supply of only **one** ingredient.

- **Smoker.** Each smoker is in a loop waiting for both of his missing ingredients to appear on the *table*. Whenever that happens, he grabs the ingredients (the table becomes empty), rolls a cigarette and smokes it by printing a message. A smoker must also put one unit of his own resource on the table whenever asked to do so.
- **Master Agent.** Whenever the table is empty, the *master agent* sends a message demanding a unit of resource to be put on the table to two distinct *smokers* using a channel. The *master agent* chooses the *smokers* that have to put their own resource on the table using a *uniform random distribution*.

Simulate the system and verify that it behaves correctly: infinite execution trace in which each **smoker** smokes infinitely often.

## Exercise 8: railway station

**Exercise:** In a railway station **trains** are continuously arriving and leaving. Goods are contained in some cargos and, depending on the weight, they are moved from/to either **trucks** or **vans**. Write a Promela program that models this scenario considering **each cargo as a message** that should be sent/received through the right channel. Each **channel** (train, truck and van) can contain **16 cargos** as a maximum. The **maximum weight** of each cargo in a van is **128**.

You will need two processes:

- `split`, that splits goods from the train channel, dividing them over the other two channels, truck and van, depending on the weight values attached
- `merge`, that merges the two streams back into one, most likely in a different order, and writes it back into the train channel.

Here are the initial cargo weights on the train: 345, 12, 6777, 32, 0;

## Example 9: word counter

**Exercise:** In each sentence (string hereafter) the number of the characters composing the string is greater or equal than the number of the words contained in the sentence. A word is characterized by delimiters:

- space ' '
- tabulation '\t'
- endline '\n'

Write a spin function **count()** that performs property-based slicing of a string channel, counts the number of characters **nc** and the number of words **nw** and checks if the property  $nc \geq nw$  is always true.

Use the `init` function to pass to `count()` a string (remember that you can model a string as a channel of integers corresponding to ASCII characters).